



# Administrative Office of the Courts

---

## Operations Division

### Questions and Responses No. 1 to the Request for Proposals RFP K20-0056-26N

#### Association Management Software Systems and Implementation Services

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The following questions for the above referenced RFP were received by e-mail and are answered and posted for all prospective Offerors. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding on the Maryland Judiciary unless the RFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the Maryland Judiciary's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the Maryland Judiciary of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question. The following changes/additions are listed below; new language has been underlined and language deleted has been marked with a strikeout (ex. language deleted).

1. Question: Would the AOC please elaborate why AMS RFP K20-0056-26N was cancelled?

Response: It was in the AOC best interest to cancel and re-solicit the solicitation.

2. Question: After cancelling AMS RFP K20-0056-26N, has the government interviewed vendors and assessed AMS software?

Response: No.

3. Question: Has AOC identified software vendor/s that satisfy AOC AMS needs?

Response: No.

4. Question: Could the AOC kindly share the scope of the system?

Response: We currently use iMIS as our AMS, and the system contains records for more than 1,800 members.

5. Question: Would the agency be willing to provide the current screen layouts for the existing system?

Response: We cannot provide screenshots at this time. Additionally, we are looking for a system where the back-end is easier to navigate and manage.

6. Question: What kind of cost would be associated with the system?

Response: N/A

Issued By: Alisha Allmond  
June 1, 2020

