

UNREPORTED
IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS
OF MARYLAND

No. 1785

September Term, 2015

EDWIN C. COLEMAN

v.

CARRIE M. WARD, ET AL.
SUBSTITUTE TRUSTEES

Krauser, C.J.,
Graeff,
Nazarian,

JJ.

PER CURIAM

Filed: December 20, 2016

*This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

Edwin Coleman, appellant, challenges an order of the Circuit Court for Baltimore City dismissing his complaint against appellees, the Substitute Trustees,¹ for, as he puts it, “wrongfully foreclosing” on his property. After thoroughly reviewing the record, the parties’ briefs, and the relevant law and rules, we find no merit to appellant’s claims that the circuit court erred in dismissing his complaint for failing to state a claim upon which relief could be granted, that the Substitute Trustees’ motion to dismiss the complaint was untimely filed or that the circuit court erred in denying his motion to amend the complaint.

Moreover, appellant’s challenge to the circuit court’s denial of his motion for recusal is also without merit. We find no evidence in the record of any bias or impartiality on the part of the presiding judge. Adverse judicial rulings and bald allegations, like those raised by appellant, are not sufficient to overcome the presumption of impartiality by the trial court. *See Feamow v. Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co.*, 104 Md. App. 1, 55 (1995), *aff’d in part and rev’d in part on other grounds*, 342 Md. 363 (1996).

**JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
FOR BALTIMORE CITY AFFIRMED.
ALL COSTS TO BE PAID BY THE
APPELLANT.**

¹ The Substitute Trustees, appointed on behalf of Fannie Mae, the secured party, are: Carrie M. Ward, Howard N. Bierman, Jacob Geesing, Pratima Lele, Tayyaba C. Monto, Joshua Appellant, Richard R. Goldsmith, Jr., and Ludeen McCartney-Green.