

UNREPORTED  
IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS  
OF MARYLAND

No. 1991

September Term, 2012

---

GREGORY LUCAS

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

---

Krauser, C.J.  
Nazarian,  
Moylan, Charles E., Jr.  
(Retired, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

---

PER CURIAM

---

Filed: July 22, 2016

\*This is an unreported opinion, and it may not be cited in any paper, brief, motion, or other document filed in this Court or any other Maryland Court as either precedent within the rule of stare decisis or as persuasive authority. Md. Rule 1-104.

Convicted of possession of marijuana, assault in the second degree, resisting arrest, and obstructing and hindering a law enforcement officer, in the Circuit Court for Prince George’s County, Gregory Lucas, appellant, challenges the sufficiency of the evidence supporting his conviction for obstructing and hindering a law enforcement officer. His sole argument on appeal is that the State failed to prove he actually obstructed or hindered the officer in the performance of his duties. Because Lucas did not raise this argument in either of his motions for judgment of acquittal, however, it is not preserved for appellate review and we decline to address it. *See Taylor v. State*, 175 Md. App. 153, 159 (2007) (“[R]eview of a claim of insufficiency is available only for the reasons given by appellant in his motion for judgment of acquittal.” (citation omitted)); *Bates v. State*, 127 Md. App. 678, 691 (1999) (“A defendant may not argue in the trial court that the evidence was insufficient for one reason, then urge a different reason for the insufficiency on appeal[.]”).

**JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT  
COURT FOR PRINCE GEORGE’S  
COUNTY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO  
BE PAID BY APPELLANT.**