

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND

No. 89

September Term, 1995

SCOTLAND EUGENE WILLIAMS

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

Murphy, C.J.
Eldridge
Rodowsky
Chasanow
Karwacki
Bell
Raker,

JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Rodowsky, J.
in which Bell, J., concurs in part.

Filed: July 30, 1996

Rodowsky, J., concurring.

I join in the judgment of the Court and in its opinion, with the exceptions of Part V.A. and so much of Part VI as is predicated on the holding in Part V.A. The line of cross-examination which the defense sought to pursue dealt with instances of actual contamination of samples in the control of others than the witness, who had no personal knowledge concerning what others had done. Thus, the majority opinion's interesting discussion of the theoretical ways in which a DNA sample can be contaminated is not an issue presented by the record.