

**COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND
CORRECTION NOTICE**

April 14, 2021

**Case No. 1586, Sept. Term, 2019
Keith Yacko, et al. v. Rene Mitchell**

**Reported opinion filed: February 26, 2021
Authoring judge: Leahy**

Second page of Headnotes, under Legal Impossibility, 1st line NOW READS

Unlike *Ray v. Bassil*, 30 Md. App. 550(1976), and *Tippet v. Quade*, 19 Md. App. 49

SHOULD READ

Unlike *Ray v. Bassil*, 30 Md. App. 550(1976), and *Tippet v. Quade*, 19 Md. App. 49

Page 42, 10th line from the bottom of the page NOW READS

and *Tippet v. Quade*, 19 Md. App. 49, 56(1973), for the principle established in *York*

SHOULD READ

and *Tippet v. Quade*, 19 Md. App. 49, 56(1973), for the principle established in *York*

Page 42, 5th line from the bottom of the page NOW READS

Likewise, the *Tippet*, we held that the plaintiff’s “unsupported and uncertain testimony”

SHOULD READ

Likewise, the *Tippet*, we held that the plaintiff’s “unsupported and uncertain testimony”

Page 45, 6th line from the top of the page NOW READS

Unlike *Ray and Tippet*—cases in which physical evidence precluded the

SHOULD READ

Unlike *Ray and Tippet*—cases in which physical evidence precluded the

A corrected opinion has been posted on the Court's webpage: www.mdcourts.gov/cosappeals.

/S/

Gregory Hilton
Clerk of the Court of Special Appeals