

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND**

THE KEY SCHOOL, INC., ET AL.,

*Defendants-Appellants,*

v.

VALERIE BUNKER,

*Plaintiff-Appellee.*

Misc. No. 2

September Term, 2024

THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY  
SAINTS,

*Defendant-Appellant,*

v.

JANE DOE,

*Plaintiff-Appellee.*

Misc. No. 1

September Term, 2024

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF HARFORD COUNTY

*Defendant-Appellant,*

v.

JOHN DOE, ET AL.,

*Plaintiffs-Appellees.*

No. 10

September Term, 2024

**JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE BRIEFING, CONSOLIDATE  
ARGUMENT, AND MODIFY BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

1. Pursuant to Maryland Rules 8-431 and 8-502(b), Defendants-Appellants The Key School, Inc. et al., The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints, and Board of Education of Harford County (collectively, Appellants) and Plaintiffs-Appellees Valerie Bunker, Jane Doe, and John Doe et al. (collectively,

Appellees) hereby move this Court to file consolidated briefing addressing the common issue in the above-captioned cases. The parties further move to consolidate argument in all three cases. Finally, the parties respectfully request the Court modify the briefing schedules in the above-captioned cases to align with the latest-filed schedule in *Board of Education of Harford County v. John Doe, et al.* No. 10, September Term, 2024 (SCM-REG-0010-2024).

2. This Court recently agreed to hear the same question in four related cases, all of which are set for argument on September 10, 2024: “Does the Maryland Child Victims Act of 2023, 2023 Md. Laws ch. 5 (S.B. 686), (codified at Md. Code Ann., Cts. & Jud. Proc. § 5-117), constitute an impermissible abrogation of a vested right in violation of Article 24 of the Maryland Declaration of Rights and/or Article III, Section 40 of the Maryland Constitution?” Those cases are as follows: *The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Jane Doe* (Misc. No. 1) (certified question accepted May 7, 2024); *The Key School, Inc., et al. v. Valerie Bunker* (Misc. No. 2) (certified question accepted May 9, 2024); *Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. John Doe, et al.* (No. 9) (certiorari granted May 28, 2024); and *Board of Education of Harford County v. John Doe, et al.* (No. 10) (certiorari granted May 28, 2024).

3. Undersigned counsel represent Appellants and Appellees in three of these four cases: *Bunker*, *Jane Doe*, and *Harford County*. The parties in *Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington* are represented by separate counsel.

4. The parties seek to consolidate their briefing in the three above-captioned cases in which the undersigned are counsel. Specifically, because the question of the Maryland Child Victims Act's (MCVA) constitutionality is identical in all three cases, the parties move to file one set of briefing addressing the constitutionality issue across all three cases. The parties request an extension of the usual briefing length—from 13,000 words to 18,000 words—for their MCVA opening and response briefs, to allow them to fully address the arguments in all three cases. The parties also request an extension of the usual briefing length—from 6,500 words to 9,000 words—for Appellants' consolidated reply brief. In addition, the parties request leave to file separate briefing to address the standing question presented in *Harford County*. The parties request 6,500 words for their opening and response briefs on the standing issue, and 3,900 words for Appellants' reply brief. In total, Appellants request 37,400 words and Appellees request 24,500 words for their consolidated briefs. This is a substantial reduction from the 58,500 words Appellants would receive and 39,000 words Appellees would receive were they to file separately in each case. Consolidating the briefing thus aids judicial economy by streamlining arguments and eliminating redundancies.

5. The parties also move to consolidate argument in the three above-captioned cases. The four cases are currently set to be argued one after another over two hours. The parties propose holding one argument for the three consolidated cases on the constitutionality question, followed by a separate argument in *Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington* on the constitutionality question, and concluding with argument on the standing question in *Harford County*. This proposed consolidation will promote efficiency given the substantial overlap in the issues, and because the same counsel will be arguing on behalf of Appellees in all three cases. Consolidated argument for Appellants may be split between two advocates, with final notice of arguing counsel to be submitted to the Court no later than August 26, 2024. With respect to the amount of time allotted for argument for the three consolidated cases, the parties request 40 minutes for Appellants, including time reserved for rebuttal, and 40 minutes for Appellees.

6. Finally, the parties move for an extension of time to file their briefs in two of the above-captioned cases. There are currently two separate briefing schedules for the cases, with *Bunker* and *Jane Doe* on one schedule, and *Harford County* on another. The parties respectfully request the Court modify the briefing schedules to align the briefing deadlines across all three cases as follows:

Appellants' Opening Briefs due July 8, 2024

Appellees' Response Briefs due August 7, 2024

Appellants' Reply Briefs due August 26, 2024

The proposed briefing schedule aligns with the requirements in Maryland Rule 8-502(b).

WHEREFORE, for these reasons, the parties respectfully request that this Court consolidate the briefing for the above-captioned cases, consolidate oral argument for these cases, and modify the briefing schedules as proposed.

June 20, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Sean Gugerty

Sean Gugerty

AIS No. 1512150280

Jeffrey J. Hines

AIS No. 8512010275

GOODELL, DEVRIES, LEECH & DANN,  
LLP

One South Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor

Baltimore, MD 21202

Tel: (410) 783-4000

Fax: (410) 783-4040

sgugerty@gdldlaw.com

jjh@gdldlaw.com

***Counsel for Defendants-Appellants  
the Key School, Inc. and the Key  
School Building and Finance  
Corporation***

/s/Edmund J. O'Meally

Edmund J. O'Meally

AIS No. 8501180003

/s/ Danielle Desaulniers Stempel

Catherine E. Stetson\*

*\*Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming*

Danielle Desaulniers Stempel

AIS No. 1712140204

HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP

555 Thirteenth Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: (202) 637-5600

danielle.stempel@hoganlovells.com

***Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees***

Robert K. Jenner

AIS No. 8512010300

Elisha N. Hawk

AIS No. 0812170048

JENNER LAW, P.C.

3600 Clipper Mill Road

Suite 240

Baltimore, Maryland 21211

Tel: (410) 413-2155

Andrew G. Scott  
AIS No. 0712120247  
Adam E. Konstas  
AIS No. 1312180106  
PESSIN KATZ LAW, P.A.  
901 Dulaney Valley Road, Suite 500  
Towson, MD 21204  
Tel: (410) 938-8800  
Fax: (667) 275-3056  
eomeally@pklaw.com  
ascott@pklaw.com  
akonstas@pklaw.com

***Counsel for Defendant-Appellant  
the Board of Education of Harford  
County***

/s/ Sarah M. Gragert

Sarah M. Gragert  
AIS No. 0712110312  
Allen M. Gardner  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
555 11th St. NW, Suite 1000  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 637-2200  
Fax: (202) 637-2201  
sarah.gragert@lw.com  
Allen.gardner@lw.com

***Counsel for Defendant-Appellant  
the Church of Jesus Christ of  
Latter-Day Saints***

Fax: (410) 982-0122  
rjenner@jennerlawfirm.com  
ehawk@jennerlawfirm.com

Philip C. Federico  
AIS No. 8312010136  
Brent Ceryes  
AIS No. 1112130163  
Wray Fitch  
AIS No. 1206200083  
BAIRD MANDALAS BROCKSTEDT &  
FEDERICO, LLC  
2850 Quarry Lake Drive, Suite 220  
Baltimore, Maryland 21209  
Tel: 410-421-7777  
Fax: 443-241-7122  
pfederico@bmbfclaw.com  
bceryes@bmbfclaw.com  
wfitch@bmbfclaw.com

Steve Kelly  
AIS No. 0312160392  
GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.  
3600 Clipper Mill Road, Suite 240  
Baltimore, Maryland 21211  
Tel: (410) 204-4528  
skelly@gelaw.com  
egraham@gelaw.com  
ggittler@gelaw.com

Andrew D. Freeman  
AIS No. 8612010166  
Anthony J. May  
AIS No. 1512160094  
BROWN, GOLDSTEIN & LEVY, LLP  
120 E. Baltimore Street, Suite 2500

Baltimore, Maryland 21202  
Tel: (410) 962-1030  
Fax: (410) 385-0869  
adf@browngold.com  
amay@browngold.com

Mark E. Rollison  
AIS No. 0112120250  
Michael J. Wasicko  
AIS No. 0412150397  
Melissa Fry Hague  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
Kelly N. Stevenson  
*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*  
THE JOEL BIEBER FIRM  
1 Olympic Place, Suite 900  
Towson, Maryland 21204  
Tel: (804) 358-2200  
Fax: (804) 358-2262  
mrollison@joelbieber.com  
mwasicko@joelbieber.com  
mhague@joelbieber.com  
kstevenson@joelbieber.com

***Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellee Valerie  
Bunker***

Nathaniel L. Foote  
AIS No. 2402231005  
ANDREOZZI + FOOTE  
4503 North Front Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17110  
Tel: (717) 525-9124  
Fax: (717) 525-9143  
nate@vca.law

***Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellee Jane Doe***

Aaron M. Blank  
AIS No. 1112130094  
BLANK KIM, P.C.  
8455 Colesville Road #920  
Silver Spring, MD 20910  
Tel: (240) 599-8917  
Fax: (240) 599-5012  
ABlack@bkinjury.com

***Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees John Doe  
et al.***



## **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

This filing was prepared in 14-point Times New Roman font; complies with the font, line spacing, and margin requirements of Maryland Rule 8-112; and contains 793 words.

/s/ Danielle Desaulniers Stempel  
Danielle Desaulniers Stempel

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 20, 2024, a copy of the foregoing was sent by the e-filing system to:

Sean Gugerty  
Jeffrey J. Hines  
GOODELL, DEVRIES, LEECH & DANN, LLP  
One South Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Baltimore, MD 21202

*Counsel for Defendants-Appellants  
the Key School, Inc. and the Key School  
Building and Finance Corporation*

Edmund J. O'Meally  
Andrew G. Scott  
Adam E. Konstas  
PESSIN KATZ LAW, P.A.  
901 Dulaney Valley Road, Suite 500  
Towson, MD 21204

*Counsel for Defendant-Appellant  
the Board of Education of Harford County*

Sarah M. Gragert  
Allen M. Gardner  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
555 11th St. NW, Suite 1000  
Washington, D.C. 20004

*Counsel for Defendant-Appellant  
the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints*

/s/ Danielle Desaulniers Stempel  
Danielle Desaulniers Stempel

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND**

---

THE KEY SCHOOL, INC., ET AL.,

*Defendants-Appellants,*

v.

VALERIE BUNKER,

*Plaintiff-Appellee.*

---

Misc. No. 2

September Term, 2024

---

THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY  
SAINTS,

*Defendant-Appellant,*

v.

JANE DOE,

*Plaintiff-Appellee.*

---

Misc. No. 1

September Term, 2024

---

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF HARFORD COUNTY

*Defendant-Appellant,*

v.

JOHN DOE, ET AL.,

*Plaintiffs-Appellees.*

---

No. 10

September Term, 2024

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Upon consideration of the Joint Motion to Consolidate Briefing, Consolidate Argument, and Modify Briefing Schedule filed by the parties in the above-captioned cases, it is on this \_\_\_\_ day of June, 2024

**ORDERED**, by the Supreme Court of Maryland, that the motion be, and it is hereby, **GRANTED**, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the above-captioned cases are consolidated for purposes of briefing, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the briefing schedules will be modified to align the above-captioned cases as follows: Opening Briefs due Monday, July 8, 2024, Response Briefs due Wednesday, August 7, 2024, and Reply Briefs due Monday, August 26, 2024, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the briefing length is extended to 18,000 words for the opening and response briefs and 9,000 words for the reply brief to address the constitutionality question presented in the consolidated cases, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the parties are **GRANTED** leave to file separate consolidated briefing to address the standing question presented in No. 10, with the parties receiving 6,500 words for the opening and response briefs and 3,900 words for the reply brief, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that argument regarding the constitutionality question is consolidated for the above-captioned cases, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that the Court will first hear argument on the constitutionality question in consolidated cases, followed by argument in *Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. John Doe, et al.*, No. 9, followed by argument on the standing question presented in No. 10, and it is further

**ORDERED**, that Appellants and Appellees will each receive 40 minutes for argument in the above-captioned cases.

---

Chief Judge