

# Maryland Judiciary Statewide Evaluation of Alternative Dispute Resolution

## Impact of Mediation on Criminal Misdemeanor Cases

This is the first study of its kind that compares mediated and non-mediated criminal misdemeanor cases with such great attention to creating a comparison group. This report explores the impacts in terms of cost to the court system for cases which are referred to mediation compared to cases which are not referred to mediation. It also explores the impact on the participants regarding how the situation has worked out for them. *This handout summarizes a multidimensional study that includes sophisticated data collection instruments and analysis tools. Information on accessing the full report can be found on the back of this flier.*

### Short Term Outcomes

The study found that mediation had a statistically significant impact in reducing the likelihood of:

- judicial action
- jury trial prayer
- supervised probation or jail-time

Mediated cases were five times less likely to result in judicial action, five times less likely to result in jury trial prayed, and ten times less likely to result in supervised probation or jail-time.



### Long Term Outcomes

Mediated cases were almost five times less likely to return to criminal court in the subsequent 12 months than those that were not mediated.

Mediation did not have a statistically significant impact on:

- individuals finding themselves in civil court in the subsequent 12 months



### Participant Follow-Up

Participating in the mediation has a positive and significant impact on participants reporting several months after the intervention that:

- the outcome is working
- the issues have been resolved
- they are satisfied with this process



This reinforces the findings on case outcomes, and generally points to long term resolution.

Overall, participant reports and case level analysis reinforce each other and indicate that **mediation resolves issues** with outcomes that work in the long term and keep cases from returning to court with subsequent criminal charges. **Mediation results in the use of fewer court and law enforcement resources in the short and long term.**

## DATA COLLECTION

The data for this study were collected from two Maryland counties: Washington and Frederick. Washington County and Frederick County are adjacent, and share similar geographic and demographic characteristics. These similarities led researchers to be confident that the two groups being compared were equivalent enough in ways other than the intervention itself. This allowed researchers to properly assess the impact of mediation. The Washington County State Attorney's Office (SAO) refers some criminal cases to mediation prior to a trial date and these cases served in the mediation (treatment) group. The Frederick County SAO does not offer mediation for criminal cases, and therefore those cases were used in the non-mediation (comparison) group.

The mediation group cases were identified from cases referred to mediation by the Washington County SAO. Researchers were then present for all mediation sessions they could attend, and cases were included in the data when mediation participants consented to inclusion in the study.



Non-mediation group cases from Frederick County were selected by researchers based on mediation referral criteria gathered from interviews with the Washington County SAO. This resulted in a group of cases that would have likely been referred to mediation had the option been available.

The Maryland Judiciary commissioned this study to be conducted by independent researchers in its ongoing effort to provide the highest quality service to Marylanders, which includes ADR.

## PROCESS & ANALYSIS

The research methodology included the use of propensity score matching to consider possible selection bias and ensure cases being compared were essentially equivalent according to the variables measured. Additionally, the methodology used logistic regression analysis to isolate the effect of mediation and consider other factors that may influence the outcome.

As illustrated in the graphs below, the study found that mediated cases had far lower predicted probabilities for both continuing with court procedures or actions and returning to criminal court within a year than cases that were not mediated. These predicted probabilities were calculated after taking into consideration the many other factors that may affect these outcomes.



This research, commissioned by the **Maryland Judiciary**, is part of its Statewide Evaluation of ADR. The project was led by the Administrative Office of the Courts, and funded in part by a grant from the State Justice Institute. Salisbury University and the University of Maryland worked on the statewide study under memoranda of understanding with AOC. The research for this portion of the study was conducted by Community Mediation Maryland and the Bosserman Center for Conflict Resolution at Salisbury University. Lorig Charkoudian, PhD, served as lead researcher. Additional information about the research methods, data collection tools, and statistical analyses, and the full study can be found in the full report at: [www.mdcourts.gov/courtoperations/adrprojects.html](http://www.mdcourts.gov/courtoperations/adrprojects.html)

