



Administrative Office of the Courts

Operations Division

Questions/Responses No. 2 to the Request for Proposals (RFP) K21-0052-29 Attorney Information System (IV&V) Assessment

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The following questions for the above referenced RFP were received by e-mail and are answered and posted for all prospective Offerors. The statements and interpretations contained in the following responses to questions are not binding on the Maryland Judiciary unless the RFP is expressly amended. Nothing in the Maryland Judiciary's response to these questions is to be construed as agreement to or acceptance by the Maryland Judiciary of any statement or interpretation on the part of the Offeror asking the question.

Question 13: Why should Administrative Office of the Courts and the Maryland Judiciary be named on the Contractor's insurance as stated in Section 2.11 (B) of the SOW, since the company's insurance insures the business to cover all the company's clients, not just one client? Can you remove the last statement of Section 2.11 (B) from the SOW?

Response: No, the Maryland Judiciary will not remove the last statement. All insurance policies shall name the Administrative Office of the Courts and the Maryland Judiciary as an additional insured.

Question 14: With reference to Section 3.4.5.C page 21 of the RFP, we are to provide 3 current customer references in the technical response. Can we use a project from the resume of the staff we are proposing as one of our references?

Response: No, as we are seeking current customer references for the Offeror.

Issued by: Sejal Lakhawala
Procurement Officer
March 19, 2021