Circuit Court for Montgomery County

Case No.: 101831C

<u>UNREPORTED</u>

IN THE APPELLATE COURT

OF MARYLAND*

No. 1425

September Term, 2023

SHAHID TURNER

v.

STATE OF MARYLAND

Berger, Shaw, Harrell, Glenn T., Jr. (Senior Judge, Specially Assigned),

JJ.

PER CURIAM

Filed: June 28, 2024

^{*}This is a per curiam opinion. Consistent with Rule 1-104, the opinion is not precedent within the rule of stare decisis, nor may it be cited as persuasive authority.

Shahid Turner appeals an order of the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, entered on August 30, 2023, denying his *pro se* motion for appropriate relief. In his motion, Turner, once again, maintained that his sentence for conspiracy to commit robbery should run concurrently with his sentence for armed robbery. We shall affirm the judgment of the circuit court denying relief. We have previously addressed Turner's sentencing claim finding no merit to his assertion, and we shall not revisit our holding that his sentence for conspiracy to commit robbery runs consecutive to his sentence for armed robbery. See Turner v. State, No. 646, September Term, 2012 (Md. App. November 7, 2013), Turner v. State, No. 1144, September Term, 2016 (Md. App. August 1, 2017), Turner-Bey v. State, No. 531, September Term, 2018 (Md. App. April 29, 2019), and Turner-Bey v. State, No. 1480, September Term, 2020 (Md. App. November 5, 2023). Our prior rulings are the law of the case. Scott v. State, 379 Md. 170, 183 (2004) ("[O]nce an appellate court rules upon a question on appeal, litigants and lower courts become bound by the ruling, which is considered to be the law of the case.").

JUDGMENT OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY AFFIRMED. COSTS TO BE PAID BY APPELLANT.